

PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Pasadena, California

**PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (TASK FORCE)**

**December 7, 2021
6:00 p.m.**

[Join Webex meeting](#)

ID: 26205302519

Password: naCmsg7Ud45

[\(US\) +1 415-655-0001 \(toll\)](#)

Access code: 2620 530 2519

NOTICE AND AGENDA

A. CALL TO ORDER <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Roll Call	
B. PUBLIC COMMENT	
C. ACTION ITEM	
1) <u>Approval of Minutes – 12-6-2021</u>	ATTACHMENT C MOTION SECOND VOTE
D. PRESENTATION – <i>Scott Torlucci, Davis Demographics</i>	
1) <u>Review of Possible Scenarios for District Boundary Changes</u>	ATTACHMENT D
E. ADJOURNMENT	

**PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (TASK FORCE)
MEETING MINUTES
12-6-2021**

Task Force Members Present:

Mikala Rahn
Beverly Bogar
Ruth Richardson
Tim Wendler
Norah Small
Bret Nicely
Allisonne Crawford

Miles Prince

Board Member Present:

Kimberly Kenne

Public:

Judy McKinley

Staff:

Diane Orona

Task Force Members Absent:

James Aragon

Meeting called to order at 6:05 p.m.

No public comment

Approval of Minutes from 12-2-2021

Motion: Richardson

Second: Crawford

Vote: 7-0

Review of Possible Scenarios for District Boundary Changes

Scott Torlucci of Davis Demographics provided various scenarios, keeping the Task Force's concerns into account. All are in compliance, some better than others. Scenario B shows areas of District 7 moving to District 5, District 3 into District 4, and District 4 into District 5. District 6 was expanded to include Upper Hastings, along with an expansion of District 2 into District 5. Small: Kinneloa Mesa between Districts 2 and 7 should not go up Sierra Madre Villa. Tracts (marked by population) 135 and 88 can only be accessed by Sierra Madre Boulevard and should be moved from District 2 from District 6. Scenario C shows areas of District 6 going to District 2, a small area of District 5 going to District 6, a small portion of District 5 to District 2, which makes the variance go down better than Scenario B. Scenario D shows a possible move of a sitting board member out of his/her district. Wendler: Scenarios A and D are the only scenarios that may move a board member. A recommended best practice is to avoid this happening. Rahn: Scenario C is best with Kinneloa change. Richardson: Agrees. Bogar: Agrees. Torlucci: How many do you want to bring to the public? Wendler: Scenario B shows no change in the Latino population percentage. Is Scenario C similar? Torlucci: District 4 goes down. Wendler: This could be a potential concern to be sure certain populations have a voice on the board so we should be more careful than the law requires. Torlucci: District 4 is -45 from the variance, less than 1%. Richardson: We have not had Latino candidates running. Wendler: Can these maps be made public? There was a disclaimer on the maps sent to the Task Force not to share outside the group. Can some be

made into pdfs along with the Excel summary tables? Torlucci: the disclaimer is there because we discussed not sharing the maps since they are working maps created by me and had no task force input yet. Once we boil down to two scenarios, we can share them. Rahn: We need to decide on two scenarios and then they can be presented live to the public. Torlucci: I can change the disclaimer if instructed to do so. Richardson: Narrow down to a few scenarios before going live. Torlucci/Rahn: Agree. Torlucci: Should Ranch Top Road be part of the Hastings Ranch area? Small: Yes, but Oak Grove and Pasadena Glen below to Kinneloa Mesa. Scenario E - Rahn: Interested in Lamanda Park area, moving it to its original district. Torlucci: Works great, brings into better compliance. Nicely: Do we have data that Districts 4 and 5 showed a reduction in Latino population in 10-year difference? Richardson: 2.87% decrease. Torlucci: District 5 needs to come down, District 4 needs to go up. Districts 3 and 4 are still low with District 5 too high. Additional minor changes were made. Nicely: Can District 3 be expanded up to Woodbury, the city boundary? Torlucci: Woodbury is not the boundary street, it's Montana. Will research to be sure. Small: By moving it up above the city boundary, more Latinos neighborhoods can be added. Torlucci: District 3 is now too high, must be moved to District 4. Rahn: Bring back more changes to tomorrow's meeting. Wendler: When we present to the community in January, can we show Scenario A as the least disruptive option? In order to compare with other scenarios. Rahn: Still need to prevent moving sitting board member seats; prefer Scenarios B, C and E. Richardson: Scenario A may require more explanation regarding a board member's address change. Wendler: Show it as the bare minimum with the other scenarios being better by reducing the percentages. Richardson: Present larger voting block with smaller variances. Torlucci: Will bring Scenario F to see voting block at tomorrow's meeting.

Adjourned: 6:52 p.m.